Home ownership promotes economic independence for our citizens and provides stability for our neighborhoods.

The United States is the first country in the world to make owning a home a reality for a vast majority of its families; however, more than one-third of the families in this country are not homeowners. A disproportionate percentage of non-homeowning families are lowning a piece of the rock. If we all join together, we can help ensure that this nation becomes a nation of homeowners.

Last year, Congress passed House Resolution 147, which encourages all members to participate in and support activities to provide homes for low-income families. So far. 361 members of Congress have agreed to participate in the House that Congress Built, to make the American dream of homeownership a reality for low-income families. When we voted on this resolution last year, I thought it was a good idea. After participating in the world-record breaking build on Friday, I'm convinced that this is one of the greatest events I've ever had the privilege to be part of since becoming a member of Congress. I urge all of you to join Habitat for Humanity in building homes in your districts. I promise you that if you participate in a habitat for Humanity build, it will be one of the most rewarding experiences of your life.

I also urge my colleagues to ensure that this effort does not end with one symbolic house in each congressional district. Our goal is to eliminate poverty housing across the United States. This has to be the beginning of the fulfillment of the American dream for each and every American.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and I yield back the balance of my time.

## SUPPORT THE CHILD CUSTODY PROTECTION ACT

(Mr. SMITH of New Jersey asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. SMITH of New Jersey. Mr. Speaker, I urge support for the legislation of the gentlewoman from Florida (Ms. Ros-Lehtinen), the Child Custody Protection Act.

Mr. Speaker, my colleagues may recall that when the partial-birth abortion ban became an issue, many proabortion organizations, including Planned Parenthood Federation of America and their research arm, the Guttmacher Institute wrote a letter saying there are 500 partial-birth abortions every year in the entire country. That statement, just like other statements that they made, turned out to be bogus, turned out to be a lie.

It was a New Jersey newspaper that broke the story that just one clinic in my State, the Metropolitan Medical Associates in Englewood, did about 1,500 partial-birth abortions each and every year, many of them on teenagers.

Now we find that the Metropolitan Medical Associates and other abortion mills in the State of New Jersey advertise and market their business in Pennsylvania and elsewhere and use the fact that New Jersey does not have a paren-

tal consent or parental notice statute as a way of luring young girls to that clinic and to other clinics. If we look at this ad, it stresses that pregnancies are terminated up to 24 weeks without parental knowledge or consent.

These ads are telling teens "Hey, we can end your pregnancy and your baby's life and your parents don't have to know." But if a teenager's secret abortion leads to complications, what happens then? Where is it written that the person driving the frightened and vulnerable 13 or 14-year-old to an abortion mill is responsible? No, her parents will be responsible for and involved in her care after the abortion—when the disaster hit. They should have had the chance to be involved at the beginning—and they would have if the state law had not been evaded.

We need to say that the law does matter. We need to say that parents matter. And we need to help those vulnerable children who are being carried across state lines and pushed into abortion clinics by relative strangers who, in most cases, have their own reasons for making sure that these girls get abortions.

Support the Child Custody Protection Act.

## SPECIAL ORDERS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 7, 1997, and under a previous order of the House, the following Members will be recognized for 5 minutes each.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. CONYERS) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. CONYERS addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

## CALLING FOR REAL REFORM

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentlewoman from Texas (Ms. Jackson-Lee of Texas) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Ms. JACKSON-LEE of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I think, as we were engaged in this very important and maybe lifechanging debate on the question of campaign finance reform, returning the government back to the people, it might have been some confusion on a group that I wish to pay tribute tonight and that is, of course, the lawyers' committee for civil rights under law. That is not a political advocacy group, nor is it a PAC that secures and solicits money to fund candidates for any kind of election. This is a 35th year, an anniversary of this great and historic body. Its theme is answering the call for equal justice.

On June 21, 1963, President John F. Kennedy summoned 250 of America's most prominent lawyers to the White House to enlist their leadership in helping to resolve the civil rights crisis which gripped the Nation. In the preceding weeks Americans had witnessed the bombing of black churches, the number of civil rights, the murder of

civil rights activist Medgar Evers and the defiance of Alabama governor George Wallace who sought to block the admission of black students to the State university. Establishment of the lawyers' committee sought to fulfill the expectation of America's leaders that the private bar become an active force in the continuing struggle for equal opportunity and racial equality.

In saying that, Mr. Speaker, let me also acknowledge that we are not talking about taking the opportunities away from various advocacy groups to participate in the political process, and to raise money, and to speak and to utilize the first amendment. My colleagues know on the other side of the aisle in debate of this issue that you can organize a PAC and be actively involved in both fund-raising and speaking your views. So I would not want the great work of the lawyers' committee on civil rights to be associated with a PAC or an advocacy group. They are a justice group.

In keeping that in mind, Mr. Speaker, let me also say that we can see in our campaign process the influence of big money. Just this week the other body, of course, has not spoken to the issue that the American people want them to speak to, and that is the issue of reforming and changing the laws as it relates to the sale of tobacco. Four thousand youngsters every day start smoking, and 1,000 of them will die. Now that is why the Congressional Children's Caucus on Wednesday, June 24 will convene a hearing so that the world can hear our children speak out against the violence of tobacco use. how they are besieged with advertisement and encouragement to use it. We will listen to their voices. We will listen to physicians tell us how cigarette smoke, secondhand smoke, impacts

It is important that we relieve ourselves of the whole influence of negative influences on this concept of government and democracy. I certainly think that actions this week speak of negative influences. For most of the American public, when told the truth, want a reform of the way tobacco is utilized in this country and how it is projected toward our youth.

children every day.

We could have had a strong tobacco reform bill. We could have had a bill that provides for the health care of Americans at the same time that we are protecting our children against advertisement that would encourage them to smoke. But yet influence has brought that bill to a halt.

I am here to call on this House to move forward and to bring about real reform as it relates to tobacco. I am here to ask this House to listen to these children as they come to the United States capital to present their case. And lastly, Mr. Speaker, I am here to make sure that we give attention and respect to an organization that deserves such; that is, the lawyers' committee for civil rights under the law, and maybe in its 35th year, as